

CMSC 341

Introduction to Trees

Tree ADT

Tree definition

- A tree is a set of nodes.
- The set may be empty
- If not empty, then there is a distinguished node r , called *root* and zero or more non-empty subtrees T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k , each of whose roots are connected by a directed edge from r .

Basic Terminology

- *Root* of a subtree is a child of r . r is the *parent*.
- All children of a given node are called *siblings*.
- A *leaf* (or *external*) node has no children.
- An *internal node* is a node with one or more children

More Tree Terminology

A *path* from node V_1 to node V_k is a sequence of nodes such that V_i is the parent of V_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

The *length* of this path is the number of edges encountered. The length of the path is one less than the number of nodes on the path ($k - 1$ in this example)

The *depth* of any node in a tree is the length of the path from root to the node.

All nodes of the same depth are at the same *level*.

The *depth of a tree* is the depth of its deepest leaf.

The *height* of any node in a tree is the length of the longest path from the node to a leaf.

The *height of a tree* is the height of its root.

If there is a path from V_1 to V_2 , then V_1 is an *ancestor* of V_2 and V_2 is a *descendent* of V_1 .

Tree Storage

A tree node contains:

- Element
- Links
 - to each child
 - to sibling and first child

Binary Trees

A *binary tree* is a rooted tree in which no node can have more than two children AND the children are distinguished as *left* and *right*.

A *full BT* is a BT in which every node either has two children or is a leaf (every interior node has two children).

FBT Theorem

Theorem: A FBT with n internal nodes has $n + 1$ leaf nodes.

Proof by strong induction on the number of internal nodes, n :

Base case: BT of one node (the root) has:

zero internal nodes

one external node (the root)

Inductive Assumption:

Assume all FBTs with up to and including n internal nodes have $n + 1$ external nodes.

Proof (cont)

Inductive Step (prove true for tree with $n + 1$ internal nodes)
(i.e a tree with $n + 1$ internal nodes has $(n + 1) + 1 = n + 2$ leaves)

- Let T be a FBT of n internal nodes.
- It therefore has $n + 1$ external nodes (Inductive Assumption)
- Enlarge T so it has $n+1$ internal nodes by adding two nodes to some leaf. These new nodes are therefore leaf nodes.
- Number of leaf nodes increases by 2, but the former leaf becomes internal.
- So,
 - # internal nodes becomes $n + 1$,
 - # leaves becomes $(n + 1) + 1 = n + 2$

Proof (more rigorous)

Inductive Step (prove for $n+1$):

- Let T be any FBT with $n + 1$ internal nodes.
- Pick any leaf node of T , remove it and its sibling.
- Call the resulting tree $T1$, which is a FBT
- One of the internal nodes in T is changed to a external node in $T1$
 - T has one more internal node than $T1$
 - T has one more external node than $T1$
- $T1$ has n internal nodes and $n + 1$ external nodes (by inductive assumption)
 - Therefore T has $(n + 1) + 1$ external nodes.

Perfect Binary Tree

A *perfect BT* is a full BT in which all leaves have the same depth.

PBT Theorem

Theorem: The number of nodes in a PBT is $2^{h+1}-1$, where h is height.

Proof by strong induction on h , the height of the PBT:

Notice that the number of nodes at each level is 2^l . (Proof of this is a simple induction - left to student as exercise). Recall that the height of the root is 0.

Base Case:

The tree has one node; then $h = 0$ and $n = 1$.

$$\text{and } 2^{(h+1)} = 2^{(0+1)} - 1 = 2^1 - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1 = n$$

Proof of PBT Theorem(cont)

Inductive Assumption:

Assume true for all trees with height $h \leq H$

Prove true for tree with height $H+1$:

Consider a PBT with height $H + 1$. It consists of a root and two subtrees of height H . Therefore, since the theorem is true for the subtrees (by the inductive assumption since they have height = H)

$$\begin{aligned} n &= (2^{(H+1)} - 1) && \text{for the left subtree} \\ &+ (2^{(H+1)} - 1) && \text{for the right subtree} \\ &+ 1 && \text{for the root} \\ &= 2 * (2^{(H+1)} - 1) + 1 \\ &= 2^{((H+1)+1)} - 2 + 1 = 2^{((H+1)+1)} - 1. && \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

Other Binary Trees

Complete Binary Tree

A *complete BT* is a perfect BT except that the lowest level may not be full. If not, it is filled from left to right.

Augmented Binary Tree

An *augmented binary tree* is a BT in which every unoccupied child position is filled by an additional “augmenting” node.

Path Lengths

The *internal path length* (IPL) of a rooted tree is the sum of the depths of all of its internal nodes.

The *external path length* (EPL) of a rooted tree is the sum of the depths of all the external nodes.

There is a relationship between the IPL and EPL of Full Binary Trees.

If n_i is the number of internal nodes in a FBT, then

$$\text{EPL}(n_i) = \text{IPL}(n_i) + 2n_i$$

Example:

$$n_i =$$

$$\text{EPL}(n_i) =$$

$$\text{IPL}(n_i) =$$

$$2 n_i =$$

Proof of Path Lengths

Prove: $EPL(n_i) = IPL(n_i) + 2 n_i$ by induction on number of internal nodes

Base: $n_i = 0$ (single node, the root)

$$EPL(n_i) = 0$$

$$IPL(n_i) = 0; \quad 2 n_i = 0 \quad 0 = 0 + 0$$

IH: Assume true for all FBT with $n_i < N$

Prove for $n_i = N$.

Proof: Let T be a FBT with $n_i = N$ internal nodes.

Let n_{iL}, n_{iR} be # of internal nodes in L, R subtrees of T

then $N = n_i = n_{iL} + n_{iR} + 1 \implies n_{iL} < N; n_{iR} < N$

So by IH:

$$EPL(n_{iL}) = IPL(n_{iL}) + 2 n_{iL}$$

$$\text{and } EPL(n_{iR}) = IPL(n_{iR}) + 2 n_{iR}$$

For T ,

$$EPL(n_i) = EPL(n_{iL}) + n_{iL} + 1 + EPL(n_{iR}) + n_{iR} + 1$$

By substitution

$$EPL(n_i) = IPL(n_{iL}) + 2 n_{iL} + n_{iL} + 1 + IPL(n_{iR}) + 2 n_{iR} + n_{iR} + 1$$

Notice that $IPL(n_i) = IPL(n_{iL}) + IPL(n_{iR}) + n_{iL} + n_{iR}$

By combining terms

$$EPL(n_i) = IPL(n_i) + 2 (n_{iR} + n_{iL} + 1)$$

But $n_{iR} + n_{iL} + 1 = n_i$, therefore

$$EPL(n_i) = IPL(n_i) + 2 n_i \quad \text{QED}$$

Traversal

Inorder

Preorder

Postorder

Levelorder

Constructing Trees

Is it possible to reconstruct a BT from just one of its pre-order, inorder, or post-order sequences?

Constructing Trees (cont)

Given two sequences (say pre-order and inorder) is the tree unique?

Tree Implementations

What should methods of a tree class be?

Tree class

```
template <class Object>
class Tree {
public:
    Tree(const Object& notFnd);
    Tree (const Tree& rhs);
    ~Tree();

    const Object &find(const Object& x) const;
    bool isEmpty() const;
    void printTree() const;
    void makeEmpty();
    void insert (const Object& x);
    void remove (const Object& x);
    const Tree& operator=(const Tree &rhs);
```

Tree class (cont)

```
private:
    TreeNode<Object> *root;
    const Object ITEM_NOT_FOUND;
    const Object& elementAt(TreeNode<Object> *t) const;
    void insert(const Object& x, TreeNode<Object> *&t)
    const;
    void remove(const Object& x, TreeNode<Object> *&t)
    const;
    TreeNode<Object> *find(const Object& x,
        TreeNode<Object> *t) const;
    void makeEmpty(TreeNode<Object> *&t) const;
    void printTree(TreeNode<Object> *t) const;
    TreeNode<Object> *clone(TreeNode<Object> *t) const;
};
```

Tree Implementations

Fixed Binary

- element
- left pointer
- right pointer

Fixed K-ary

- element
- array of K child pointers

Linked Sibling/Child

- element
- firstChild pointer
- nextSibling pointer

TreeNode : Static Binary

```
template <class Object>
class BinaryNode {
    Object element;
    BinaryNode *left;
    BinaryNode *right;

    BinaryNode(const Object& theElement,
               BinaryNode* lt,
               BinaryNode* rt)
        : element (theElement), left (lt), right (rt) {}

    friend class Tree<Object>;
};
```

Find : Static Binary

```
template <class Object>
BinaryNode<Object> *Tree<Object> ::
find(const Object& x, BinaryNode<Object> * t) const {
    BinaryNode<Object> *ptr;

    if (t == NULL)
        return NULL;
    else if (x == t->element)
        return t;
    else if (ptr = find(x, t->left))
        return ptr;
    else
        return (ptr = find(x, t->right));
}
```


Insert : Static Binary

Remove : Static Binary

TreeNode : Static K-ary

```
template <class Object>
class KaryNode {
    Object element;
    KaryNode * children[MAX_CHILDREN];

    KaryNode(const Object& theElement);

    friend class Tree<Object>;
};
```

Find : Static K-ary

```
template <class Object>
KaryNode<Object> *KaryTree<Object> ::
find(const Object& x, KaryNode<Object> *t) const
{
    KaryNode<Object> *ptr;

    if (t == NULL)
        return NULL;
    else if (x == t->element)
        return t;
    else {
        i =0;
        while ((i < MAX_CHILDREN)
            && !(ptr = find(x, t->children[i])) i++);
        return ptr;
    }
}
3/2/2005
```

Insert : Static K-ary

Remove : Static K-ary

TreeNode : Sibling/Child

```
template <class Object>
class KTreeNode {
    Object element;
    KTreeNode *nextSibling;
    KTreeNode *firstChild;

    KTreeNode(const Object& theElement,
               KTreeNode *ns,
               KTreeNode *fc)
        : element (theElement), nextSibling(ns),
          firstChild(fc) {}

    friend class Tree<Object>;
};
```

Find : Sibling/Child

```
template <class Object>
KTreeNode<Object> *Tree<Object> ::
find(const Object& x, KTreeNode<Object> *t) const
{
    KTreeNode<Object> *ptr;

    if (t == NULL)
        return NULL;
    else if (x == t->element)
        return t;
    else if (ptr = find(x, t->firstChild))
        return ptr;
    else
        return(ptr = find(x, t->nextSibling));
}
```


Insert : Sibling/Child

Remove : Sibling/Parent